

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/604,453	TING ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Michael Kroccheck	2186

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Allowance

(1) Michael Kroccheck.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Ramraj Soundararajan.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 14 August 2006

**Time:** 1:30 PM

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 112 of claims 8 and 16

Claims discussed:

8, 16

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Discussed changing "said across network element" in claims 8 and 16 to, "one of said networks" to overcome the 112 rejection. The mentioned change was agreed to by the applicant's representative.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)